arXiv:0904.0120v1 [math.AC] 1 Apr 2009

GENERIC TROPICAL VARIETIES

TIM RÖMER AND KIRSTEN SCHMITZ

ABSTRACT. We show that in the constant coefficient case the generic tropical variety of a graded ideal exists. This can be seen as the analogon to the existence of the generic initial ideal in Gröbner basis theory. We determine the generic tropical variety as a set in general and as a fan for principal ideals, linear ideals and ideals in low dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

The field of tropical geometry is a growing branch of mathematics establishing a deep connection between algebraic geometry and combinatorics. There are various different approaches and applications of tropical geometry; see [6, 11, 16, 18, 19, 23] and [10, 15] for general overviews.

One important aspect of tropical geometry is that it provides a tool to investigate affine algebraic varieties by studying certain combinatorial objects associated to them. This is done by considering the image of an affine algebraic variety X under a valuation map; see [8, 21, 23]. The set of real-valued points of this image is defined to be the tropical variety of X or, equivalently, of the ideal I defining X. The tropical variety has the structure of a polyhedral complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and can be used to obtain information of the original variety as is done for example in [8]. For practical purposes there is a useful characterization of tropical varieties in terms of initial polynomials given in [23] and fully proved in [8, Theorem 4.2] and more explicitly in [21]. From this it follows that in the case of constant coefficients, i.e. if the valuation on the ground field is trivial, the tropical variety of an algebraic variety defined by a graded ideal I is a subfan of the Gröbner fan of I. It contains exactly those cones of the Gröbner fan corresponding to initial ideals that do not contain a monomial.

Let K be infinite, $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ a graded ideal and $\succ$ a term order. It is well known that there exists a generic initial ideal $\mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)$ with respect to $\succ$. More precisely, there is a non-empty Zariski-open set $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\mathrm{in}_\succ(g(I))$ is the same ideal for every $g \in U$; see [9] or [12] for details and see for example [1, 13] for applications of this concept in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. Since the tropical variety of I is closely related to the Gröbner fan of I and thus to initial ideals of I, the question arises, if there exists a generic tropical variety of I analogous to $\mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)$ and what properties it has. Using the methods of [14] our aim is to study the tropical variety of a graded ideal under a generic coordinate transformation. We prove the existence of a generic Gröbner fan and a generic tropical variety in the case of constant coefficients. Interestingly, the latter result yields a natural way to associate a non-empty tropical variety to an ideal of dimension at least 1, even if it contains a monomial. This opens the possibility to study such ideals by means of tropical varieties as well. We show that the generic tropical variety as a set depends only on the dimension of the ideal and discuss the special cases of principal ideals and linear ideals. In these examples the generic tropical variety depends only on the dimension of I as a fan as well, suggesting the question whether the generic tropical variety of any ideal just depends on its dimension.

A basic problem in tropical geometry concerns the question of which invariants of an ideal can be obtained or estimated from its tropical variety. The dimension of the ideal can be seen directly in the tropical variety as follows from [3] and [21]. However, already the case of principal ideals shows that there is no hope to be able to read off other invariants as for example the multiplicity of the ideal, since for all non-zero polynomials the generic tropical variety is the same independently of their degrees. This can be amended by introducing weights on the tropical varieties as done for example in [26].

Since the tropical variety of an ideal and of its radical coincide, it suffices to consider reduced ideals. From our results on low dimensional tropical varieties it also follows that even for reduced ideals one cannot determine whether an ideal is prime or not from its tropical variety. This is true, since for example for any 2-dimensional ideal the generic tropical variety is the same, no matter if the ideal is prime or not. One can interpret this as a result indicating the limitations of tropical geometry in the constant coefficient case, since almost every generic coordinate transformation of different ideals yields the same tropical variety.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will introduce our notation and the basic setting for our work. In Section 3 we present a proof of the existence of the generic Gröbner fan in this setting. The results needed to prove our main theorem, the existence of the generic tropical variety, are developed in Sections 4 and 5. Section 6 contains the proof of the main theorem. Some general results on generic tropical varieties and examples are given in Section 7 and 8, while in Section 9 the examples of principal ideals and linear ideals are discussed.

We thank Hannah Markwig and Bernd Sturmfels for valuable comments and suggestions for this paper.

2. BASIC CONCEPTS AND NOTATION

In this section we present some results and recall definitions which are used in the subsequent sections. Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 with a non-archimedean valuation $v\colon K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Later we will assume that the valuation is trivial, i.e. that $v(a) = 0$ for all $a \in K^*$, but for a moment we consider the general case.

For a polynomial $f \in K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ with $f = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}^n} a_\nu x^\nu$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)$ the *initial polynomial of* f, which consists of all terms of f such that $v(a_\nu) + \omega \cdot \nu$ is minimal. The *support* of f is the finite set of all exponent vectors of f. More generally, the *support* of a finite set $\mathscr{G}$ of polynomials is the set containing the support-sets of every polynomial in $\mathscr{G}$.

The *tropical variety* $T(I)$ of a graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is the set of all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the minimal weight of the terms of f is attained at least twice for all $f \in I$. In other words, we have

$$T(I) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathrm{in}_\omega(f) \text{ is not a monomial for every } f \in I\}.$$

In the following we will always assume $I \neq \{0\}$, if not stated otherwise. Assume that K has a trivial valuation. Recall in this situation the notion of the *Gröbner fan* $\mathrm{GF}(I)$ of I; see for example [17], [20] or [24]. For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we let $\mathrm{in}_\omega(I)$ be the ideal generated by all $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)$ for $f \in I$. Now two vectors $\omega, \omega' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are elements of the same relatively open cone $\mathring{C}$ for $C \in \mathrm{GF}(I)$ if and only if $\mathrm{in}_\omega(I) = \mathrm{in}_{\omega'}(I)$. Then we set $\mathrm{in}_C(I)$ for this common initial ideal.

Note that our definition is slightly different from the original one, since for a given polynomial we always take terms of lowest ω-weight while one usually takes terms of maximal ω-weight. But this does not change the theory at all and in the context of tropical varieties it is more convenient to work with our definition above.

It was observed in [4] that the tropical variety $T(I)$ is a subfan of the Gröbner fan of I in a natural way. More precisely, we have:

Proposition 2.1. *(*[4]*) Assume that K has a trivial valuation. Then the tropical variety $T(I)$ of a graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ is the subfan of the Gröbner fan* $\mathrm{GF}(I)$ *which contains all cones $C \in \mathrm{GF}(I)$ such that the corresponding initial ideal* $\mathrm{in}_C(I)$ *contains no monomial.*

In the following we will be interested only in the case of *constant coefficients*, i.e. that K has a trivial valuation. To derive our main theorem however, we adapt some methods used in [14] to our purposes and use a key result of that paper. For this we need to establish the connection between the setting the authors of [14] work in and ours. There a tropical variety is always defined over a field K with a non-trivial valuation. Then for the algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K the image $v(\overline{K}^*)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ and $T(I)$ agrees with the image of the zero set $V(I)$ of I in $(\overline{K}^*)^n$ under v. In [14] it is essential that $v(\overline{K})$ is dense in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. However, we can also get statements from [14] for tropical varieties over fields with trivial valuation. This basically follows from the fact that a tropical variety does not change under certain field extensions:

Theorem 2.2. *(*[8, Theorem 4.2]*) Let (K,v) be an algebraically closed valued field of characteristic* 0 *and (L,v) be an algebraically closed field extension of (K,v) such that $v(L) = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and L is complete with respect to v. For an ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ we consider the extension $IL[x]$ of I in $L[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. Then the sets*

$$\begin{aligned} T(I) &= \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathrm{in}_\omega(f) \text{ is not a monomial for any } f \in I\} \text{ and} \\ T(IL[x]) &= \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathrm{in}_\omega(f) \text{ is not a monomial for any } f \in IL[x]\} \end{aligned}$$

are equal.

From now on we will always assume that K has a trivial valuation if not stated otherwise. As explained above, in the literature this is also called the constant coefficient case. In this case one can explicitly state a field extension L of K which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2. For this we define the *field of Puiseux series*

$$K((T^{\mathbb{R}})) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} c_\alpha T^\alpha : c_\alpha \in K \text{ and } \{\alpha : c_\alpha \neq 0\} \text{ is well ordered} \right\}$$

over K and set $v(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} c_\alpha T^\alpha) = \min\{\alpha : c_\alpha \neq 0\}$. This defines a valuation on $K((T^{\mathbb{R}}))$ which maps surjectively onto $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Moreover, one can show that $K((T^{\mathbb{R}}))$ is complete with respect to v by directly applying the definitions. In addition $K((T^{\mathbb{R}}))$ is algebraically closed if K is, as proved in [22, (2.1) and (5.2)].

The *dimension* $\dim I$ of an ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ refers to the Krull dimension of the ring $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]/I$. Recall that a fan $\mathscr{F}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite collection of cones in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for $C' \subset C$ with $C \in \mathscr{F}$ we have that C' is a face of C if and only if $C' \in \mathscr{F}$, and secondly if $C, C' \in \mathscr{F}$, then $C \cap C'$ is a common face of C and C'. To simplify notation we denote by $\mathscr{F}$ also the union of all its cones. The dimension $\dim \mathscr{F}$ of $\mathscr{F}$ is the maximum of the dimensions $\dim C$ for all cones $C \in \mathscr{F}$. We call the fan *pure dimensional* if every maximal cone has the same dimension $\dim \mathscr{F}$. We need the following basic results on tropical varieties.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $I, I_1, \dots I_k \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be graded ideals, all of which have a non-empty tropical variety. Then we have:*

(i) *If $I_1 \subset I_2$, then $T(I_2) \subset T(I_1)$.*
(ii) *$T(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_k) = T(I_1) \cup \dots \cup T(I_k)$.*
(iii) *$T(I) = T(\sqrt{I}) = \bigcup_P T(P)$ where the union is taken over all minimal prime ideals P of I.*
(iv) *If $\dim I = m$, then $\dim T(I) = m$, and if I is prime $T(I)$ is a pure dimensional fan.*

Proof. The first three statements are from [21, Lemma 2.12].

(iv) is a consequence of (iii) and the first main result of [3]. Since we are assuming that K has a trivial valuation, (iv) essentially follows from (iii) and [2, Theorem 3] as well, because we know by Proposition 2.1 that $T(I)$ is a subfan of $\mathrm{GF}(I)$. □

To compute tropical varieties the concept of a tropical basis is useful.

Definition 2.4. Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal. Then a finite system of homogenous generators $f_1,\dots,f_t$ of I is called a *tropical basis* of I if

$$T(I) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{t} T(f_i).$$

In the constant coefficient case every ideal has a tropical basis as was observed in [4, Theorem 2.9]. (The proof of that paper works also for other fields than $\mathbb{C}$.)

We will now specify the meaning of the term *generic* for this note and introduce the notation used here.

Definition 2.5. Let $G = \{y_{ij} : i, j = 1,\dots,n\}$ be a set of n^2 independent variables over some field K and let $K' = K(G)$ be the quotient field of $K[G]$. In the following we denote by y the K-algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y : K[x_1,\dots,x_n] & \longrightarrow & K'[x_1,\dots,x_n] \\ x_i & \longmapsto & \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ij} x_j. \end{array}$$

For any $g = (g_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ this induces a K-algebra automorphism on $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ by substituting g_{ij} for y_{ij}. We identify g with the induced automorphism and use the notation g for both of them.

Note that for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ the ideal $g(I)$ is a graded ideal of the same dimension as I. The assumption in Theorem 2.3 that the tropical variety is not empty, is fulfilled generically:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal with $\dim I > 0$. Then there exists a Zariski-open set $\emptyset \neq U_{>0} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $T(g(I)) \neq \emptyset$ for every $g \in U_{>0}$.*

Proof. We have to show that $g(I)$ contains no monomial for all g in a non-empty Zariski-open set $U_{>0} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. If $g(I)$ contains a monomial x^α for a fixed g, we would have $(x^\alpha) \subset g(I)$, which implies the inclusions

$$V(g(I)) \subset V(x^\alpha) = \{z \in K^n : z_i = 0 \text{ for } \alpha_i > 0\}$$

of the zero-sets of the two ideals. Thus it suffices to show that there is a zero of $g(I)$, none of whose coordinates is zero to show that no monomial can be contained in $g(I)$.
If $I = (f_1,\dots,f_r)$, then $g(I) = (g(f_1),\dots,g(f_r))$. Since $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, we can also consider it as a vector space isomorphism on K^n. Let g^{-1} denote its inverse. Then by definition $g(f_i)(v) = f_i(g(v))$ for any $v \in K^n$. Thus for any $z \in V(I)$ we get

$$g(f_i)(g^{-1}(z)) = f_i(g(g^{-1}(z))) = f_i(z) = 0,$$

so $g^{-1}(z) \in V(g(I))$.
Since $\dim I > 0$, we know $\sqrt{I} \neq (x_1,\dots,x_n)$. In particular, there exists $0 \neq z \in V(I)$ because we are assuming that K is algebraically closed. Now the i-th coordinate $(g^{-1}(z))_i$ is zero if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^n g'_{ij} z_j = 0$, where the g'_{ij} are the entries of the matrix of $g^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. This sum can be considered as a non-zero polynomial in the variables g'_{ij} with coefficients z_j. Now we can choose $U_{>0}$ to be the set

$$U_{>0} = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K) : \sum_{j=1}^n g'_{ij} z_j \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1,\dots,n \right\},$$

which is non-empty and Zariski-open. Then for any $g \in U_{>0}$ we have $g^{-1}(z) \in V(g(I)) \cap (K^*)^n$, so $g(I)$ cannot contain a monomial. Hence $T(g(I)) \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Let $\succ$ be a term order on $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ with $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_n$. Then the initial ideal of some ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ with respect to $\succ$ is constant under a generic coordinate transformation of I. In other words there is a Zariski-open set $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\mathrm{in}_\succ(g(I))$ is the same ideal for every $g \in U$, and this ideal is denoted by $\mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)$.
Let $B_n(K) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ denote the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, i.e. all elements with upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. Then for every $g \in B_n(K)$ we have $g^T(\mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)) = \mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)$, where g^T is the transposed matrix of g. This fact is expressed by calling $\mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)$ *Borel-fixed*.
As explained above the tropical variety of I is a subfan of the Gröbner fan of I and thus closely connected to initial ideals of I. This lead to the question, whether there exists a generic tropical variety of I analogous to $\mathrm{gin}_\succ(I)$ and what it looks like, if it does exist.

Definition 2.7. Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal. If $T(g(I))$ is the same fan for all g in a Zariski-open subset $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, then this fan is called the *generic tropical variety* of I and is denoted by $\mathrm{gT}(I)$.

Note that every graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ of $\dim I = 0$ contains a monomial. Thus Lemma 2.6 immediately implies that we have $\mathrm{gT}(I) = \emptyset$, if and only if $\dim I = 0$.

3. THE GENERIC GRÖBNER FAN

In this section we show the existence of a "generic Gröbner fan" of a graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$.

Recall that I has only finitely many initial ideals with respect to term orders on the polynomial ring $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ and these initial ideals correspond to the maximal cones in the Gröbner fan of I. A *universal Gröbner basis* of I is a finite generating set of I which is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to every term order. Note that such a universal Gröbner basis always exists. Indeed, choosing term orders $\succ_1,\dots,\succ_m$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_1}(I),\dots,\mathrm{in}_{\succ_m}(I)$ are all initial ideals of I, then the union of all reduced Gröbner bases of I with respect to $\succ_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,m$ is a universal Gröbner basis of I; see for example [17, Corollary 2.2.5].

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a Zariski-open subset $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that for every $g \in U$ there is a universal Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}(g) = \{h_1(g),\dots,h_s(g)\}$ of $g(I)$ and all these universal Gröbner bases have the same support. We denote the set U by $U_{gröb}$.*

Proof. We may identify term orders on $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ with those on $K'[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. Moreover, we also identify monomial ideals in $K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ with those in $K'[x_1,\dots,x_n]$, since the monomials do not depend on the ground field.

Let $J \subset K'[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be the image ideal $y(I)$ of I under the K-algebra homomorphism y as defined in Definition 2.5. There exists only finitely many initial ideals $\mathrm{in}_1(J),\dots,\mathrm{in}_m(J)$ of J with respect to term orders of $K'[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. We choose a term order $\succ_i$ for each initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_i(J)$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(J) = \mathrm{in}_i(J)$. Using the Buchberger Algorithm we can compute a reduced Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}_i$ of J with respect to $\succ_i$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the union of all these reduced Gröbner bases $\mathcal{G}_i$ of J, i.e. a universal Gröbner basis of J. The coefficients of all polynomials occurring throughout these computations are themselves quotients of polynomials in the variables y_{ij}. Now choose U to be the non-empty Zariski-open set of all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that all of the finitely many nominators and denominators of the polynomials appearing during the calculations in the Buchberger Algorithm are nonzero with respect to any of the $\succ_i$. Then for any $g \in U$ the reduced Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}_i(g)$ of $g(I)$ with respect to $\succ_i$ is obtained by evaluating the polynomials of $\mathcal{G}_i$ at g.

Now it remains to show that for $g \in U$ the union of the $\mathcal{G}_i(g)$ is a universal Gröbner basis of $g(I)$. For this it is enough to prove that every initial ideal of $g(I)$ is one of the $\mathrm{in}_1(J),\dots,\mathrm{in}_m(J)$. Let $g \in U$ be fixed and $\succ$ be any term order and consider the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_\succ(g(I))$. We know that $\mathrm{in}_\succ(J) = \mathrm{in}_i(J)$ for some $i \in \{1,\dots,m\}$. This implies that the reduced Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}_i$ of J with respect to $\succ_i$ is also a reduced Gröbner basis of J with respect to $\succ$; see [17, Corollary 2.2.5]. Moreover, by the choice of U we know that $\mathcal{G}_i(g)$ is a reduced Gröbner basis of $g(I)$ with respect to $\succ_i$ for $g \in U$. Since $\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_i(g)$ have the same support, we know $\mathrm{in}_\succ(y(f)) = \mathrm{in}_\succ(g(f))$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(y(f)) = \mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(g(f))$ for every $y(f) \in \mathcal{G}_i$. Also we know that $\mathrm{in}_\succ(y(f)) = \mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(y(f))$, since $\mathrm{in}_\succ(J) = \mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(J)$ and

$\mathscr{G}_i$ is reduced. But then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(g(I)) &= (\mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(g(f)) : g(f) \in \mathscr{G}_i(g)) \\ &= (\mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(y(f)) : y(f) \in \mathscr{G}_i) \\ &= (\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(y(f)) : y(f) \in \mathscr{G}_i) \\ &= (\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(g(f)) : g(f) \in \mathscr{G}_i(g)) \subset \mathrm{in}_{\succ}(g(I)). \end{aligned}$$

However, both $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(g(I))$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(g(I))$ are initial ideals of the same ideal $g(I)$, and hence $\mathrm{in}_{\succ}(g(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\succ_i}(g(I))$.

This means that $\mathscr{G}(g)$ defined as the union of the $\mathscr{G}_i(g)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ is a universal Gröbner basis of $g(I)$. Now $\mathscr{G}(g)$ is obtained by evaluating the coefficients of the polynomials in $\mathscr{G}$, and for $g \in U$ none of these coefficients vanishes. Hence all $\mathscr{G}(g)$ consist of polynomials which differ only in the coefficients not equal to zero. So all $\mathscr{G}(g)$ for $g \in U$ have the same support. □

Note that in particular this implies that for a graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ there exist only finitely many generic initial ideals of I.

Since the Gröbner fan of $g(I)$ depends only on the support of the polynomials in the universal Gröbner basis, this immediately implies the existence of a generic Gröbner fan.

Corollary 3.2. *Every ideal $g(I)$ has the same Gröbner fan for every $g \in U_{gröb}$.*

Since every non-empty Zariski-open subset is dense in $K^{n \times n}$, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 3.3. The unique Gröbner fan which equals $\mathrm{GF}(g(I))$ for all g in a non-empty Zariski-open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, is called the *generic Gröbner fan of I*. We denote this fan by $\mathrm{gGF}(I)$.

As a simple but important corollary this implies that there are only finitely many possibilities of what the tropical variety of I generically can be. More precisely, we have:

Corollary 3.4. *For a graded ideal $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the tropical variety $T(g(I))$ is one of a finite set of fans for all $g \in U_{gröb}$.*

Proof. For graded ideals the tropical variety is a subfan of the Gröbner fan of I. The assertion now follows from Theorem 3.1. □

4. IDEALS FOR GENERIC TROPICAL HYPERSURFACES

To prove the existence of the generic tropical variety we need to generalize some ideas and results from [14]. For this let $G = \{y_{ij}\}$ and $K' = K(G)$ be as in Definition 2.5. For a ring A let $A[x, \lambda, \mu] = A[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l]$ be the polynomial ring in $n + 2l$ variables over A. Moreover, let $\left\{u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(l)}\right\}$ be a set of l linearly independent vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a graded ideal.

Definition 4.1. We now define the composite variables $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ by

$$t_k = x_k \prod_{u_k^{(j)} \geq 0} \lambda_j^{u_k^{(j)}} \prod_{u_k^{(j)} < 0} \mu_j^{-u_k^{(j)}},$$

and the ideals

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (y(f)(t_1,\dots,t_n) : f \in I) \subset K'[x,\lambda,\mu], \\ \check{J} &= (y(f)(t_1,\dots,t_n) : f \in I) \subset K[G][x,\lambda,\mu], \\ J(g) &= (g(f)(t_1,\dots,t_n) : f \in I) \subset K[x,\lambda,\mu] \text{ for } g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K). \end{aligned}$$

Since $K[G][x,\lambda,\mu]$ is noetherian, there exists a finite system of generators among the given generators of $\check{J}$. So we have

$$\check{J} = (y(f_1)(t),\dots,y(f_r)(t))$$

for some $y(f_k)(t) \in K[G][x,\lambda,\mu]$. Note that this is also a system of generators of $J \subset K'[x,\lambda,\mu]$.
This system of generators also defines generators of the ideals $J(g)$:

Lemma 4.2. *For every $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ we have $J(g) = (g(f_1)(t),\dots,g(f_r)(t))$. Moreover, there is a Zariski-open set $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that all polynomials in*

$$\{g(f_1)(t),\dots,g(f_r)(t)\}$$

have constant support.

Proof. Let $g(f)(t)$ be one of the generators of $J(g)$ from Definition 4.1. Then $y(f) \in \check{J}$, so there exist $h_1,\dots,h_r \in K[G][x,\lambda,\mu]$ with $y(f)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(y)y(f_i)(t)$. This implies

$$g(f)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(g)g(f_i)(t) \in (g(f_1)(t),\dots,g(f_r)(t)).$$

The other inclusion is trivial. The set U can be chosen as the set of all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that no coefficient polynomial in the $g(f_1)(t),\dots,g(f_r)(t)$ vanishes. □

Definition 4.3. For the following we define $\mathfrak{G}$ to be a fixed system of generators

$$\{y(f_1)(t),\dots,y(f_r)(t)\}$$

of $\check{J}$. The corresponding set $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ from Lemma 4.2 is denoted by $U_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and for $g \in U_{\mathfrak{G}}$ the set $\{g(f_1)(t),\dots,g(f_r)(t)\}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{G}(g)$.

Now for a ring A let $W_A \subset A[x,\lambda,\mu]$ be the ideal $W_A = (\lambda_i\mu_i - 1 : i = 1,\dots,l)$ and let $\succ_{\mathrm{lex}}$ be the lexicographic term order on $A[x,\lambda,\mu]$ induced by

$$\lambda_1 \succ \ldots \succ \lambda_l \succ \mu_1 \succ \ldots \succ \mu_l \succ x_1 \succ \ldots \succ x_n.$$

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a non-empty Zariski-open subset $U_{\mathscr{G}} \subset U_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and polynomials $h_1(y),\dots,h_s(y) \in J + W_{K'} \subset K'[x,\lambda,\mu]$ such that:*

(i) *$\mathscr{G} = \{h_1(y),\dots,h_s(y)\}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $J + W_{K'} \subset K'[x,\lambda,\mu]$ with respect to $\succ_{\mathrm{lex}}$.*
(ii) *$\mathscr{G}(g) = \{h_1(g),\dots,h_s(g)\}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $J(g) + W_K \subset K[x,\lambda,\mu]$ with respect to $\succ_{\mathrm{lex}}$ for all $g \in U_{\mathscr{G}}$.*
(iii) *The set $\mathscr{G}$ and all the sets $\mathscr{G}(g)$ for $g \in U_{\mathscr{G}}$ have constant support.*

Proof. We start with the finite generating sets $\mathfrak{G} \cup \{\lambda_1\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_l\mu_l - 1\}$ of $J + W_{K'}$ and $\mathfrak{G}(g) \cup \{\lambda_1\mu_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_l\mu_l - 1\}$ of $J(g) + W_K$, all of which have constant support for $g \in U_{\mathfrak{G}}$. Then we proceed by applying the Buchberger Algorithm to compute the desired Gröbner basis of $J + W_{K'}$ with respect to $\succ_{\text{lex}}$. In each of the finitely many steps finitely many polynomials appear, which all have quotients of polynomials in the y_{ij} as coefficients, until finally a reduced Gröbner basis $\{h_1(y), \dots, h_s(y)\}$ is obtained. Now choose $U_{\mathscr{G}} \subset U_{\mathfrak{G}}$ such that none of these polynomials vanishes for any $g \in U_{\mathscr{G}}$. Then $U_{\mathscr{G}}$ is non-empty and Zariski-open and we have $\{h_1(g), \dots, h_s(g)\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $J(g) + W_K$ with the same support. $\square$

To obtain ideals used to describe a given tropical variety, we need one more definition.

Definition 4.5. For a ring A let $\varphi_A : A[x, \lambda, \mu] \longrightarrow A[x, \lambda, \mu]/W_A$ be the canonical ring epimorphism and consider the images $\varphi_{K'}(J)$ and $\varphi_K(J(g))$. Moreover, let $S' = K'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then we define $\tilde{J} = \varphi_{K'}(J) \cap S'$ and $\tilde{J}(g) = \varphi_K(J(g)) \cap S$.

By the definition of W_A there is a canonical A-algebra isomorphism of $A[x, \lambda, \mu]/W_A$ and $A[x, \lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$, so we can think of its elements as polynomials in the x,λ and λ^{-1} rather than as residue classes. Note that the polynomial ring $A[x] \subset A[x, \lambda, \mu]$ is mapped injectively to $\varphi_A(A[x]) \subset A[x, \lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$, since $W_A \cap A[x] = \{0\}$. Therefore we can identify $A[x]$ with $\varphi_A(A[x])$. We are now interested in a Gröbner basis of constant support of the ideals $\tilde{J}$ and $\tilde{J}(g)$. To achieve this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *$(W_{K'} + J) \cap S' = \varphi_{K'}(J) \cap S'$ and $(W_K + J(g)) \cap S = \varphi_K(J(g)) \cap S$.*

Proof. Since the proof does not depend on the chosen field K' or K, it suffices to show the first statement. The second one is proved in exactly the same way. For simplicity we write φ for $\varphi_{K'}$ and W for $W_{K'}$.
Let $h \in (W + J) \cap S'$. Then $\varphi(h) = h$, since $h \in S'$ is independent of λ and μ. On the other hand we can write $h = h_W + h_J$, where $h_W \in W$ and $h_J \in J$. Then we have $\varphi(h) = \varphi(h_W) + \varphi(h_J) = 0 + \varphi(h_J) \in \varphi_{K'}(J)$. Hence $h \in \varphi_{K'}(J) \cap S'$.
For the other inclusion let $h \in \varphi_{K'}(J) \cap S'$. Since $h \in \varphi_{K'}(J)$, there exists $k \in J$ with $\varphi(k) = h$. Now we construct an element $\tilde{k} \in (W + J) \cap S'$ by adding finitely many elements of W to k. Then we know $\varphi(\tilde{k}) = \varphi(k) = h$, and both $\tilde{k}$ and h are independent of λ and μ which implies $\tilde{k} = h$. To find $\tilde{k}$ we first write $k = \sum_{(\nu_1,\nu_2)\in\mathbb{N}^{2l}} c_\nu(x,y)\lambda^{\nu_1}\mu^{\nu_2}$ as a polynomial in the λ and μ. Then we have

$$h = \varphi(k) = \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}^{2l}} \Big(\sum_{\nu_1-\nu_2=a} c_\nu(x,y)\Big)\lambda^a \in S'.$$

If $a \neq 0$, then $(\sum_{\nu_1-\nu_2=a} c_\nu(x,y)) = 0$. But then we can write

$$k = \sum_{\nu_1-\nu_2\neq a} c_\nu(x,y)\lambda^{\nu_1}\mu^{\nu_2} + \sum_{\nu_1-\nu_2=a} c_\nu(x,y)\lambda^{\nu_1}\mu^{\nu_2}$$

and the second part must be contained in W, since it maps to 0 under φ. So we can drop the second part from k and this still maps to h under φ and is an element from $W + J$. So without loss of generality we can assume that k is a polynomial in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $\lambda_1\mu_1, \dots, \lambda_l\mu_l$, since it only contains terms $c_\nu(x,y)\lambda^{\nu_1}\mu^{\nu_2}$ with $\nu_1 = \nu_2$.

Observe that $(\lambda_j\mu_j)^{t_j}-1\in W$, since we can write

$$(\lambda_j\mu_j)^{t_j}-1=(\sum_{s=0}^{t_j-1}(\lambda_j\mu_j)^s)(\lambda_j\mu_j-1).$$

For every term $c(x,y)(\lambda_j\mu_j)^{t_j}\prod_{i\neq j}(\lambda_i\mu_i)^{t_i}$
we can subtract

$$c(x,y)((\lambda_j\mu_j)^{t_j}-1)\prod_{i\neq j}(\lambda_i\mu_i)^{t_i}\in W$$

from k and thus eliminate the variable $\lambda_j\mu_j$ from this term. Doing this inductively for all $\lambda_j\mu_j$, $j=1,\dots,l$ in all terms of k we obtain $\tilde{k}=k+k_l\in S'$ where $k_l\in W$. □

With this we can find Gröbner bases for $\tilde{J}\subset S'$ and of $\tilde{J}(g)\subset S$ with constant support for all $g\in U_{\mathscr{G}}$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ the reduced Gröbner basis of $J+W_{K'}\subset K[x,\lambda,\mu]$ with respect to the lexicographic term order $\succ_{\text{lex}}$ and let $\mathscr{G}(g)$ the reduced Gröbner bases of $J(g)+W_K\subset K[x,\lambda,\mu]$ with respect to $\succ_{\text{lex}}$ for all $g\in U_{\mathscr{G}}$; all as in Lemma 4.4. Then:*

(i) *$\tilde{\mathscr{G}}=\mathscr{G}\cap S'$ is a Gröbner basis of $\tilde{J}\subset K'[x]$ with respect to $\succ_{\text{lex}}$.*
(ii) *$\tilde{\mathscr{G}}(g)=\mathscr{G}(g)\cap S$ is a Gröbner basis of $\tilde{J}(g)\subset K[x]$ with respect to $\succ_{\text{lex}}$ for all $g\in U_{\mathscr{G}}$.*
(iii) *The set $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}$ and all the sets $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}(g)$ for $g\in U_{\mathscr{G}}$ have constant support.*

Proof. By [5, Chapter 2, §1] $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}(g)$ are Gröbner bases of $(W_{K'}+J)\cap S'$ and $(W_K+J(g))\cap S$ respectively. By Lemma 4.6 we know $(W_{K'}+J)\cap S'=\tilde{J}$ and $(W_K+J(g))\cap S=\tilde{J}(g)$. Finally, (iii) follows from Lemma 4.4 (iii). □

So in particular we have found a generating system $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}$ of $\tilde{J}$ such that if we substitute the indeterminates y_{ij} by some $g\in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, we obtain a generating system of the ideal $\tilde{J}(g)$ for all g in some non-empty Zariski-open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

5. RATIONAL PROJECTIONS

The main tool to express a tropical variety as an intersection of tropical hypersurfaces as done in [14] are certain linear projections, which will be discussed in this section.

Definition 5.1. Let $m<n$ be positive integers and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\pi:\mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{m+1}\\ x & \longmapsto & Ax\end{array}$$

be a linear map with rational matrix A of maximal possible rank. Such a map will simply be called a *rational projection*. Let Π be the set of equivalence classes of all rational projections with respect to the equivalence relation

$$\pi\sim\pi'\iff\ker\pi=\ker\pi'.$$

A vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be called *rational*, if it has a basis of rational vectors. Note that $\ker\pi$ is rational for $\pi\in\Pi$. Moreover, Π can be identified with

$$\{U\subset\mathbb{R}^n : U \text{ is a rational vector subspace of } \mathbb{R}^n,\ \dim U-m-1\}.$$

The topology on Π will be the one defined in [3, Section 4.1]. For this let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational vector subspace with $\dim V = m+1$ and define $O_V \subset \Pi$ by

$$O_V = \{U \in \Pi : U \cap V = \{0\}\}.$$

Then the sets O_V forms a subbasis for the open sets of Π.

Since the sets $O_V \subset \Pi$ are dense in Π and every open set is a finite intersection of unions of these, it follows that every open set in Π is dense. Note that [3, Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4], which consider the set of all (not necessarily rational) projections hold for Π with the above topology as well. To be able to apply these results we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $H_1, \ldots, H_t$ be finitely many hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the set of all equivalence classes of π such that $\ker \pi \not\subset H_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$, contains an open and dense subset of Π.*

Proof. Let $H_1 = \operatorname{Span}(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ and define $V_1 = \operatorname{Span}(a_1, \ldots, a_{m+1})$. We first show that $O_{V_1} \subset \{U \in \Pi : U \not\subset H_1\}$. Assume that $U \in \Pi$ such that $U \subset H_1$. Then

$$\dim U + \dim V_1 - m - 1 + m + 1$$

and $U, V_1 \subset H_1$. This implies that $U \cap V \neq \{0\}$, since the sum of their dimensions is greater than $\dim H_1 - 1$. Hence $U \notin O_{V_1}$. This proves $O_{V_1} \subset \{U \in \Pi : U \not\subset H_1\}$. Constructing sets O_{V_i} in the same way for every hyperplane of the $H_2, \ldots, H_t$ then yields $O_{V_i} \subset \{U \in \Pi : U \not\subset H_i\}$ and thus

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{t} O_{V_j} \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{t} \left\{U \in \Pi : U \not\subset H_j\right\} = \left\{U \in \Pi : U \not\subset H_j \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, t\right\}.$$

By [3, Lemma 4.1] the set $\bigcap_{j=1}^{t} O_{V_j}$ is dense and open, as it is an intersection of finitely many open dense sets. $\square$

We will need that the rational projections used below to describe tropical varieties preserve the dimensions of its cones. By the following lemma we see that this assumption is fulfilled for almost all rational projections.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $m < n$ and $\mathscr{D} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_t\}$ be a finite collection of m-dimensional cones in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the set of all rational projections $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ such that $\dim \pi(C_i) = m$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$, contains an open and dense subset $\tilde{D} \subset \Pi$ in the set of all rational projections.*

Proof. We consider the set $\mathscr{U} = \{\operatorname{Span}(C_1), \ldots, \operatorname{Span}(C_t)\}$ of finitely many linear subspaces of dimension m in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and define $\mathscr{X}$ to be the set of all intersections of elements in $\mathscr{U}$. Then $\mathscr{X}$ is complete of dimension m in the sense of [3, Section 4.2] and by [3, Lemma 4.2] the set of all regular projections $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ with respect to $\mathscr{X}$ in the sense of [3, Section 4.2] contains an open and dense subset $\tilde{D}$ in the set of all projections. If π is regular, then in particular $\dim X = \dim \pi(X)$ for all $X \in \mathscr{X}$, so $\dim \pi(\operatorname{Span}(C_i)) = m$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. But then also $\dim \pi(C_i) = m$ for $\pi \in \tilde{D}$, which completes the proof. $\square$

To prove the existence of finitely many hypersurfaces defining generic tropical varieties, we need to make sure that the choice of the projections $\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_n$ can be made independently of g for all g in some non-empty Zariski-open subset $U \subset GL_n(K)$. For this we need a slight variation of a theorem of Bieri and Groves [3, Theorem 4.4], where we consider a finite number of sets S instead of one.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $S_1, \dots, S_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary subsets and assume that there exists a dense set $D' \subset \Pi$ of rational projections $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ such that $\pi(S_k)$ is a finite union of polyhedra of dimension less than or equal to m for every $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then there exist $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_n \in D'$ such that for every k we have*

$$S_k = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \pi_i^{-1} \pi_i(S_k).$$

In the proof of the original theorem Bieri and Groves obtain the projections $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_n$ in two steps. They first show that there exists a union $\mathscr{X}$ of finitely many m-dimensional affine subspaces $\mathscr{X}$ such that $S \subset \mathscr{X}$. In the inductive construction of $\mathscr{X}$ they obtain the projections $\pi_{m+1}, \dots, \pi_n$. The only point, where this construction of $\mathscr{X}$ depends on S, is the step where a finite set of affine hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is chosen which contain $\pi(S)$. We can however choose this set as the union of the sets chosen for each S_k in the original proof, and thus $\pi_{m+1}, \dots, \pi_n$ can be chosen simultaneously for all k. In the second step they use the Regular Projection Lemma ([3, Lemma 4.3]) for $\mathscr{X}$ to obtain $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m$. Since this does not depend on S at all, we can also choose $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_m$ simultaneously for all S_k.

6. THE GENERIC TROPICAL VARIETY

In this section the existence of the generic tropical variety for a graded ideal will be established. Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a graded ideal and $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ be a rational projection. Let $u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for $l - (m+1)$ be a basis of $\ker \pi$. Using the definitions of t_k and $K[x, \lambda, \mu]$ from Definition 4.1 and $W_K \subset K[x, \lambda, \mu]$ and φ_K from Definition 4.5 we now consider the ideals

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= (f(t_1, \dots, t_n) : f \in I) \subset K[x, \lambda, \mu], \\ \tilde{J_0} &= \varphi_K(J_0) \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]. \end{aligned}$$

This of course is equivalent to evaluating the corresponding ideals in Section 4 for $g = \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. First of all we can prove a version of [14, Theorem 3.5] in the constant coefficient case.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a graded ideal. Then there exists an open and dense subset $D \subset \Pi$ such that $\pi^{-1}\pi(T(I)) = T(\tilde{J_0})$ as sets for every $\pi \in D$.*

Proof. Let L be the field of Puiseux series over K as defined in Section 2. We now consider the extension $IL[x]$ of I in $L[x]$. In this proof the ideals $J_0 \subset K[x, \lambda, \mu]$ and $\tilde{J_0} \subset K[x]$ corresponding to I as defined before and the analogous versions corresponding to $IL[x]$ are used. For simplicity the 0 as an index will be dropped.
We thus define $J_L = (f(t_1, \dots, t_n) : f \in IL[x]) \subset L[x, \lambda, \mu]$ and consider the ideal

$$\tilde{J_L} = \varphi_L(J_L) \cap L[x].$$

On the other hand we have the ideal

$$\tilde{J}L[x] = (\varphi_K(J) \cap K[x])L[x].$$

We now show that

$$\tilde{J}_L = \tilde{J}L[x].$$

By Lemma 4.6 we know

$$\varphi_L(J_L)\cap L[x] = (W_L+J_L)\cap L[x] \text{ and } \varphi_K(J)\cap K[x] = (W_K+J)\cap K[x].$$

Let $(f_1,\ldots,f_k) = I \subset K[x]$. By definition $(f_1,\ldots,f_k) = IL[x] \subset L[x]$. But then we get

$$(f_1(t),\ldots,f_k(t)) = J \subset K[x,\lambda,\mu] \text{ and } (f_1(t),\ldots,f_k(t)) = J_L \subset L[x,\lambda,\mu]$$

which can be seen as follows. Clearly $(f_1(t),\ldots,f_k(t)) \subset J$. Every $f \in I$ we can write as $f = \sum_i r_i f_i$, which implies $J \ni f(t) = \sum_i r_i(t) f_i(t) \in (f_1(t),\ldots,f_k(t))$. Analogously one proves the statement for J_L. Thus the set

$$\{\lambda_1\mu_1-1,\ldots,\lambda_l\mu_l-1, f_1(t),\ldots,f_k(t)\}$$

is a finite system of generators of $W_K+J \subset K[x,\lambda,\mu]$ and also of $W_L+J_L \subset L[x,\lambda,\mu]$. As in Lemma 4.4 we now use the Buchberger Algorithm to compute a reduced Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ from this generating set with respect to the lexicographic term order $\succ$, with

$$\lambda_1 \succ \ldots \succ \lambda_l \succ \mu_1 \succ \ldots \succ \mu_l \succ x_1 \succ \ldots \succ x_n.$$

Again by [5, Chapter 2,§1] the set $\mathscr{G}\cap K[x] = \mathscr{G}\cap L[x]$ is a Gröbner basis for $(W_K+J)\cap K[x]$ and for $(W_L+J_L)\cap L[x]$. In particular $(W_L+J_L)\cap L[x]$ is the extension of $(W_K+J)\cap K[x]$ in $L[x]$, and thus we have indeed $\tilde{J}_L = \tilde{J}L[x]$.

With this we can prove our theorem. By Theorem 2.2 we know $T(I) = T(IL[x])$ and thus $\pi^{-1}\pi(T(I)) = \pi^{-1}\pi(T(IL[x]))$. The ideal $\tilde{J}_0$ corresponding to $IL[x]$ is exactly $\tilde{J}_L = (W_L+J_L)\cap L[x]$.

Note that in [14, Theorem 3.5] the assumption that I is a prime ideal is not necessary. That theorem together with [14, Lemma 3.4] shows that there exists a union of hyperplanes such that for every rational projection π, for which $\ker\pi$ is not contained in that union of hyperplanes, we have $\pi^{-1}\pi(T(IL[x])) = T(\tilde{J}_L)$. Lemma 5.2 asserts that the set of all $\pi\in\Pi$ fulfilling this condition contains an open and dense subset D in the set of all rational projections. Since $\tilde{J}_L = \tilde{J}L[x]$, this implies $T(\tilde{J}_L) = T(\tilde{J}L[x])$. Again from Theorem 2.2 it follows that $T(\tilde{J}L[x]) = T(\tilde{J})$, so overall we get $\pi^{-1}\pi(T(I)) = T(\tilde{J})$ for every $\pi\in D$. □

We get:

Corollary 6.2. *Let I and $\pi\in D$ be as in Theorem 6.1. If $\dim I = m$ and $\dim\pi(C) = m$ for every maximal cone C in $T(I)$, then $T(\tilde{J}_0) = \pi^{-1}\pi(T(I))$ as a set is a union of finitely many $n-1$-dimensional cones.*

Proof. Since every $x\in T(I)$ is contained in some maximal cone we know

$$\pi^{-1}\pi(T(I)) = \bigcup_{C \text{ is a maximal cone of } T(I)} \pi^{-1}\pi(C).$$

Let C be a maximal cone of $T(I)$ and $U = \ker\pi$. We first show that $\pi^{-1}\pi(C) = U+C$. Let $v\in\pi^{-1}\pi(C)$. Then $\pi(v)\in\pi(C)$, so there exists $c\in C$ with $\pi(v) = \pi(c)$. But then $\pi(c-v) = 0$, so $c-v\in U$ and there exists $u\in U$ with $u = c-v$. Hence $v = c-u\in U+C$. For $u+c\in U+C$ we have $\pi(u+c) = \pi(c)\in\pi(C)$, so $u+c\in\pi^{-1}\pi(C)$.

As the sum of two cones, the set $\pi^{-1}\pi(C) = U + C$ is a cone itself. Now

$$\dim \pi^{-1}\pi(C) = \dim(U+C) = \dim U + \dim \pi(C) = (n-m-1)+m-1,$$

which concludes the proof. □

We now construct finitely many polynomials with constant support on a Zariski-open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ which define $T(g(I))$. To do this we first need the adaption of the ideal $\tilde{J}_0$ (which was defined depending on I) for the ideal $g(I)$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. We note that this ideal is exactly the ideal $\tilde{J}(g)$ in Definition 4.1 and for $g \in U_{\mathscr{G}}$ this ideal is generated by $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}(g)$. Furthermore, we consider the tropical variety of the ideal $\tilde{J} \subset S' = K'[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ and explore the connection between these tropical varieties over K and K'. We first give two auxiliary statements. The first one is a well-known fact from commutative algebra.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $P \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a prime ideal with $\dim P - 1$. Then P is a principal ideal.*

Lemma 6.4. *Assume there exists $g \in U_{\mathscr{G}}$ as defined in Lemma 4.4 such that the ideal $\tilde{J}(g) \subset S$ has dimension $n-1$. Then $\tilde{J}$ has dimension $n-1$ as well.*

Proof. We have Gröbner bases $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}(g)$ of $\tilde{J}(g)$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{G}}$ of $\tilde{J}$ with the same support as shown in Corollary 4.7. This implies that $\mathrm{in}_\succ(\tilde{J}(g)) \subset S$ and $\mathrm{in}_\succ(\tilde{J}) \subset S'$ are generated by the same monomials. Since the dimension of monomial ideals does not depend on the ground field, we thus have

$$n-1 = \dim \tilde{J}(g) = \dim \mathrm{in}_\succ(\tilde{J}(g)) = \dim \mathrm{in}_\succ(\tilde{J}) = \dim \tilde{J}.$$

□

We can now show a first result concerning the connection between the tropical variety of $\tilde{J} \subset S'$ and the tropical varieties of $\tilde{J}(g) \subset S$.

Lemma 6.5. *There exists a Zariski-open subset $\emptyset \neq U_{sub} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that*

$$T(\tilde{J}(g)) \subset T(\tilde{J})$$

for all $g \in U$.

Proof. Let $\{h_1,\dots,h_k\} \subset S'$ be a tropical basis of $\tilde{J}$. We now consider the generating system $\tilde{\mathscr{G}} = \{f_1,\dots,f_t\}$ of $\tilde{J}$ from Corollary 4.7 and write $h_j = \sum_{i=1}^t r_{ji} f_i$ with polynomials $r_{ji} \in S'$.
Now let U_{sub} be the set of all $g \in U_{\mathscr{G}}$ such that no nominator or denominator as a polynomial in the g_{ij} in the coefficients of the finitely many h_j and r_{ji} vanishes for all $j = 1,\dots,k$, $i = 1,\dots,t$. This implies $h_j(g) \in \tilde{J}(g)$ for $g \in U_{\mathrm{sub}}$. Then if $\omega \notin T(\tilde{J})$, there exists a j such that $\mathrm{in}_\omega(h_j)$ is a monomial. But then $\mathrm{in}_\omega(h_j(g))$ is the same monomial for $g \in U_{\mathrm{sub}}$, so $\omega \notin T(\tilde{J}(g))$. □

In addition we need:

Lemma 6.6. *Let $C_1,\dots,C_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be cones all of dimension $n-1$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^t D_i \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^r C_k \subset \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^t D_i\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^s D'_j\right)$$

where D_i are cones of dimension $n-1$ and D'_i are cones of dimension $< n-1$. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{r} C_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} D_i.$$

Proof. Assume that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{r} C_k \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} D_i$. Then there exists a point p in the relative interior of a cone C_m for some $m \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ which is not in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} D_i$. In particular, $p \in X = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} D_i$. Since X is open, there exists an open neighborhood $U(p)$ of p in X. In addition we choose a relative open neighborhood $V(p) \subset C_m$ of p and consider $W = U(p) \cap V(p)$. Then $W \subset C_m$ does not intersect $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} D_i$, but $\dim W = n-1$, which implies the contradiction

$$W \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} D'_j.$$

□

The aim is to show that $T(\tilde{J}(g))$ is a tropical hypersurface generated by some polynomial, which generically has constant support. Now let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal of dimension m. From Corollary 3.4 we know that $T(g(I))$ is one of finitely many pure m-dimensional fans $\mathscr{F}_1,\dots,\mathscr{F}_t$ for all $g \in U_{\text{gröb}} \cap U_{>0}$. By Lemma 5.3 there exists an open and dense set $\tilde{D} \subset \Pi$ such that $\dim \pi(\mathscr{F}_k) = m$ and $\pi(\mathscr{F}_k)$ is pure for all k for every $\pi \in \tilde{D}$. We now consider the set $D \subset \Pi$ from Theorem 6.1 and define $D' = D \cap \tilde{D}$, which is itself a open and dense subset of Π, as it is the intersection of two such subsets. With this we can now prove a general version of Corollary 6.2 for $\pi \in D' \subset \Pi$.

Theorem 6.7. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a prime ideal with $\dim I = m$ and $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ a rational projection in $D' \subset \Pi$ as defined above. Then $\pi^{-1}\pi(T(g(I)))$ is a tropical hypersurface generated by a polynomial $F(g)$, which has constant support for all $g \in V'$ for some Zariski-open subset $\emptyset \neq V' \subset U$. The set V' will henceforth be denoted by U_{trop}.*

Note that we cannot prove that $F(g) \in g(I)$, so by this method it not possible to obtain a generic tropical basis of $g(I)$.

Proof. Since I is prime with $\dim I = m$ and $\pi \in D' = D \cap \tilde{D}$, by Corollary 6.2 we know that for all $g \in U$ we have that $T(\tilde{J}(g))$ is the union of some $n-1$-dimensional cones. From Theorem 2.3 it follows that $\dim \tilde{J}(g) - 1$. Hence $\dim \tilde{J} - 1$ by Lemma 6.4.
Now at least one of the minimal prime ideals of $\tilde{J}$ has dimension $n-1$, so let $P_1,\dots,P_s \subset K'[x]$ be the minimal prime ideals with $\dim P_i - 1$ and $P_{s+1},\dots,P_t \subset K'[x]$ be the minimal prime ideals with dimension less than $n-1$. Then we know $T(\tilde{J}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} T(P_i)$ as stated in Theorem 2.3.
We know for every $g \in U_{\text{sub}}$ that

$$T(\tilde{J}(g)) \subset T(\tilde{J})$$

by Lemma 6.5. So for $g \in U_{\text{sub}}$ we get the chain of inclusions

$$T(\tilde{J}(g)) \subset T(\tilde{J}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} T(P_i) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i)\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=s+1}^{t} T(P_i)\right),$$

where in the first expression every $T(P_i)$ is $n-1$-dimensional and in the second one every cone has dimension less than $n-1$.
By Lemma 6.3 we know that for $i = 1,\dots,s$ the P_i are principal ideals, so there exist (homogenous) $F_i \in S'$ such that $P_i = (F_i)$. Since $\tilde{J} = (\tilde{\mathscr{G}}) = (f_1,\dots,f_q) \subset (F_i)$, we can write $f_j = r_{ij}F_i$ for some $r_{ij} \in S'$ for every $j = 1,\dots,q$, $i = 1,\dots,s$. Now define $V \subset U_{\text{sub}}$ to be the non-empty Zariski-open set of all g such that no nominator or denominator in any of the coefficients of the F_i and in any of the r_{ij} for $j = 1,\dots,q$, $i = 1,\dots,s$ vanishes. This condition implies that $\tilde{J}(g) \subset (F_i(g))$ and also that

$$T(P_i) = T(F_i) = T(F_i(g))$$

for $g \in V$. The last equality holds, since the F_i and $F_i(g)$ all have constant support for $g \in V$. Hence we get the chain of inclusions

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T((F_i)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(F_i(g)) \subset T(\tilde{J}(g)).$$

Altogether it follows for $g \in V$ that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i) \subset T(\tilde{J}(g)) \subset T(\tilde{J}) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i)\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=s+1}^{t} T(P_i)\right).$$

We now consider each $n-1$-dimensional cone in the decomposition of $T(\tilde{J}(g))$ induced by Lemma 6.2. Then Lemma 6.6 implies that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i) = T(\tilde{J}(g)) \subset T(\tilde{J}) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i)\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=s+1}^{t} T(P_i)\right).$$

Since the F_i for $i = 1,\dots,s$ are all coprime, this means

$$T(\tilde{J}(g)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(P_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} T(F_i) = T(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} F_i) = T(F_1 \cdots F_s).$$

Finally, we choose the Zariski-open set $\emptyset \neq V' \subset V$ such that no denominator or nominator of $F(g) = F_1(g)\cdots F_s(g)$ vanishes. Then all polynomials $F(g)$ have constant support for all $g \in V'$. □

Now the existence of the generic tropical variety of prime ideals follows.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a prime ideal with $\dim I > 0$. Then there exists a non-empty Zariski-open set $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ with $T(g(I))$ is the same fan for all $g \in U$.*

Proof. Since $\dim I > 0$ for all $g \in U_{>0}$ as in Lemma 2.6, we have $T(g(I)) \neq \emptyset$, so by Theorem 2.3 we know that $T(g(I))$ is pure of dimension m for $g \in U_{>0}$. From Corollary 3.4 we know that $T(g(I))$ is one of finitely many pure m-dimensional fans $\{\mathscr{F}_1,\dots,\mathscr{F}_t\}$ for all $g \in U_{\text{gröb}} \cap U_{>0}$, but this fan is not independent of the chosen g.
Since the set $D' \subset \Pi$ as defined above is open and dense in Π, by Theorem 5.4 there exist rational projections $\pi_0,\dots,\pi_n \in D'$ such that

$$\mathscr{F}_k = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \pi_i^{-1}\pi_i(\mathscr{F}_k)$$

for every k. Then it follows from Theorem 6.7 that for every $i = 0, \ldots, n$ there exists a non-empty Zariski-open set $U^i_{\text{trop}} \subset U_{>0}$ such that $\pi_i^{-1}\pi_i(T(g(I))$ is a tropical hypersurface generated by some polynomial $F_i(g)$ with constant support for all $g \in U^i_{\text{trop}}$ for every $i = 0, \ldots, n$. In particular, $\pi_i^{-1}\pi_i(T(g(I))$ is the same set for all $g \in U^i_{\text{trop}}$. Now let $U_{\text{trop}} = \bigcap_{i=0}^n U^i_{\text{trop}}$. Then U_{trop} is an intersection of finitely many non-empty Zariski-open sets, and therefore is itself non-empty and Zariski-open, and we have $\bigcap_{i=0}^n \pi_i^{-1}\pi_i(T(g(I))) = T(g(I))$ is the same set for all $g \in U_{\text{trop}}$ as well.
For every $g \in U_{\text{gröb}}$ as in Theorem 3.1 the tropical variety $T(g(I))$ is a subfan of the generic Gröbner fan $\mathrm{gGF}(I)$, which exists by Theorem 3.2 as a fan (not just as a set). So if we set $U = U_{\text{gröb}} \cap U_{\text{trop}}$, it follows that $T(g(I))$ is also constant as a fan for all $g \in U$. This concludes the proof. □

With Theorem 2.3 the assumption that I is prime can be dropped.

Corollary 6.9. *Let $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be any graded ideal with $\dim I > 0$. Then $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ exists.*

Proof. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_t$ be the minimal prime ideals of I. Then there are Zariski-open sets $\emptyset \neq U_i \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $T(g(P_i))$ is constant for every $g \in U_i$. So for every $g \in U = U_1 \cap \ldots \cap U_t$ we know $T(g(P_i))$ is constant for every $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Since $g(P_1), \ldots, g(P_t)$ are the minimal prime ideals of $g(I)$, this implies

$$T(g(I)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} T(g(P_i))$$

is constant for every $g \in U$. Analogously to the end of the proof of Theorem 6.8 one can additionally conclude that $T(g(I))$ is also constant as a fan for all $g \in U$. □

Recall that we did not prove the existence of a tropical basis of $g(I)$. Thus there remains the interesting problem whether one can construct a “generic tropical basis” for I.

7. THE SYMMETRY OF GENERIC TROPICAL VARIETIES

One feature of the generic tropical variety is its symmetry with respect to its coordinates, which will be very useful for the discussion of generic tropical varieties in low dimensions.

Definition 7.1. The symmetric group of degree n will be denoted by S_n. For $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $\sigma(\omega) = (\omega_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\sigma(n)})$. Moreover, σ induces a K-algebra automorphism on $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ by setting $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$. By abuse of notation this map will also be denoted by σ. Finally, for $g = (g_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ we define $\sigma(g) = (g_{i\sigma^{-1}(j)})$.

Note that $\sigma(g)$ corresponds to a switching of the columns of the matrix of g. The reason for this definition will be clarified by the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *For an ideal $I \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ we have:*

(i) $\sigma(g(I)) = \sigma(g)(I)$,
(ii) $\tau(\sigma(g)) = (\sigma \circ \tau)(g)$.

Proof. Since σ and $\sigma(g)$ are K-algebra automorphisms, for the first statement it suffices to prove $\sigma(g(x_i)) = \sigma(g)(x_i)$ for the indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. We have

$$\sigma(g(x_i)) = \sigma(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{ij}x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{ij}\sigma(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{ij}x_{\sigma(j)}.$$

On the other hand

$$\sigma(g)(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i\sigma^{-1}(j)}x_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{ij}x_{\sigma(j)}.$$

The two expressions coincide, which proves (i).
For (ii) we observe

$$\tau(\sigma(g)) = (g_{i\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(j))}) = (g_{i(\sigma\circ\tau)^{-1}(j)}) = (\sigma\circ\tau)(g).$$

□

Since σ as a K-algebra automorphism just renames the indeterminates of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, the ideal $\sigma(g(I))$ is obtained from I by renaming coordinates according to σ.
To establish a result on the symmetry of generic tropical varieties, we need the following result on Zariski-open sets.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be Zariski-open. Then there exists a Zariski-open set $\emptyset \neq V \subset U$ such that for every $\sigma \in S_n$ we have:*

$$g \in V \text{ implies } \sigma(g) \in V.$$

Proof. Since U is Zariski-open, there are polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_r$ in g such that

$$U = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K) : f_i(g) \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Let $\sigma \in S_n$ and set

$$U_\sigma = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K) : f_i(\sigma(g)) \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Then the intersection $\bigcap_{\sigma\in S_n} U_\sigma$ is Zariski-open and non-empty. We now define V as this intersection. For $g \in V$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ we then know that $f_i(\tau(g)) \neq 0$ for every $\tau \in S_n$, so with Lemma 7.2 we have $f_i(\tau(\sigma(g))) = f_i((\sigma\circ\tau)(g)) \neq 0$ as well, since $\sigma\circ\tau \in S_n$. This implies $\sigma(g) \in V$. □

With this we can show that a generic tropical variety is symmetric in its coordinates in the following way.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a graded ideal. Then*

$$\omega \in \mathrm{gT}(I) \text{ implies } \sigma(\omega) \in \mathrm{gT}(I) \text{ for } \sigma \in S_n.$$

Proof. Let $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be Zariski-open such that $T(g(I)) = \mathrm{gT}(I)$ for all $g \in U$. By Lemma 7.3 there exists a Zariski-open set $\emptyset \neq V \subset U$ with $g \in V$ if and only if $\sigma(g) \in V$ for every $\sigma \in S_n$. Now for $\omega \in \mathrm{gT}(I)$ the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))$ contains no monomial for any $g \in V$. But then the ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\sigma(\omega)}(\sigma(g(I)))$, which is obtained from $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))$ by renaming coordinates, does not contain a monomial either. This implies $\sigma(\omega) \in T(\sigma(g)(I))$. But $\sigma(g) \in V$, so $\sigma(\omega) \in T(\sigma(g)(I)) = \mathrm{gT}(I)$. □

8. GENERIC TROPICAL VARIETIES AND THE GENERIC TROPICAL FAN

The generic tropical variety of an ideal turns out to be closely connected to one particular fan in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which we described at first.

Definition 8.1. Let $\mathscr{W}_n$ be the fan in $\mathbb{R}^n$ consisting of the following closed cones: For each non-empty subset $A \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$ let

$$C_A = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : \omega_i = \min_k \{\omega_k\} \text{ for all } i \in A \right\}.$$

This fan will be called the *generic tropical fan* in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The t-skeleton of $\mathscr{W}_n$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{W}_n^t$.

For a k-dimensional cone C_A of $\mathscr{W}_n$ the set A has to have exactly $n-k+1$ elements. Thus the number of cones of dimension k is equal to the number of possibilities to choose $n-k+1$ from n, which is $\binom{n}{n-k+1} = \binom{n}{k-1}$. Therefore $\mathscr{W}_n$ has exactly $\binom{n}{k-1}$ cones of dimension k for $k = 1,\dots,n$.
We now show that for an m-dimensional ideal its generic tropical variety is contained in the m-skeleton of the generic tropical fan.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal of dimension $m < n$. Then as a set*

$$\mathrm{gT}(I) \subset \mathscr{W}_n^m.$$

Proof. The case $m = 0$ is trivial, so let $m > 0$. Let $\omega \notin \mathscr{W}_n^m$, so the minimum of the coordinates of ω is attained at most $n-m$ times. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\min_i \{\omega_i\} = 0$ and the first r coordinates $r \le n-m$ attain the minimum.
Now let $\succ$ be the lexicographic term order induced by $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_n$. Then $\mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I)$ exists and we have $\dim \mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I) = \dim I = m$. In particular,

$$\mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I) \cap K[x_r,\dots,x_n] \neq \{0\},$$

since otherwise $K[x_r,\dots,x_n]$ would be subset of a Noether normalization of $K[x_r,\dots,x_n]/I$ and therefore $\dim I \ge n-r+1 = m+1$ which is a contradiction.
Let $0 \neq u \in \mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I) \cap K[x_r,\dots,x_n]$ be a monomial of total degree t. Since $\mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I)$ is a Borel-fixed monomial ideal, this implies $x_r^t \in \mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I)$.
Let $\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be Zariski-open such that $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_\omega}(g(I)) = \mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I)$ for $g \in U$. Then for every $g \in U$ there exists a polynomial $f(g) \in g(I)$ with $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_\omega}(f(g)) = x_r^t$. No term of $f(g)$ that has the same ω-weight as x_r^t may contain a variable from $x_1,\dots,x_{r-1}$, since then $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_\omega}(f(g)) \neq x_r^t$ in the chosen lexicographic term order. So every such term of $f(g)$ apart from x_r^t must be divided by one of the variables $x_{r+1},\dots,x_n$. But then every term of $f(g)$ has ω-weight greater than zero, except $\mathrm{wt}_\omega(x_r^t) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f(g)) = x_r^t$ is a monomial. This means that $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))$ contains a monomial for $g \in U$, and so $\omega \notin \mathrm{gT}(I)$. □

With this we can show that the generic tropical variety of an m-dimensional ideal is equal to the m-skeleton of the generic tropical fan as a set. We first prove the result for prime ideals.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded prime ideal of dimension $m < n$. Then as a set*

$$\mathrm{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_n^m.$$

Proof. The first inclusion was shown in Lemma 8.2. For the other inclusion it suffices to show that $\mathring{C} \subset \mathrm{gT}(I)$ for every m-dimensional cone $\mathring{C}$ of $\mathscr{W}_n^m$. Since $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ is closed in the usual topology, this implies $C \subset \mathrm{gT}(I)$ for every maximal cone of $\mathscr{W}_n^m$ and thus $\mathscr{W}_n^m \subset \mathrm{gT}(I)$.
Without loss of generality we can assume $C = C_A$ for the set $A = \{1,\dots,n-m+1\}$. Then for $\omega \in \mathring{C}$ we have

$$\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \ldots = \omega_{n-m+1} < \omega_{n-m+2},\dots,\omega_n.$$

Let $\succ_\omega$ be a refinement of the partial term order induced by ω with $x_1 \succ x_2 \ldots \succ x_n$ and $V \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{\succ_\omega}(g(I)) = \mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I)$. Since V is non-empty and Zariski-open, so is its intersection U' with the set $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ defined in Theorem 6.8. We can now obtain a reduced Gröbner basis $\{h_1(g),\dots,h_s(g)\}$ of $g(I)$ with respect to $\succ_\omega$ with the same support for every for $g \in U'$ by Theorem 3.1. Then $\{\mathrm{in}_\omega(h_1(g)),\dots,\mathrm{in}_\omega(h_s(g))\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))$ with the same support for all $g \in U'$. By [17, Proposition 2.4.4] there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathrm{in}_{\succ_\omega}(g(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\succ_\omega}(\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))) = \mathrm{in}_u(\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))).$$

Note that the proof of [17, Proposition 2.4.4] only depends on the support of the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))$, hence we can choose the same u for all $g \in U'$. Now there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathrm{in}_u(\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))) = \mathrm{in}_{\omega+tu}(g(I))$ for all $0 < t < \varepsilon$; see for example [24, Proposition 1.13]. By possibly choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ even smaller we can assume that $\omega_i + tu_i < \omega_j + tu_j$ for all $i = 1,\dots,n-m+1$, $j = n-m+2,\dots,n$ for all $0 < t < \varepsilon$. Setting $v(t) = \omega + tu$ for $0 < t < \varepsilon$ we observe that $\mathrm{in}_{v(t)}(g(I)) = \mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(I)$ for all $g \in U'$. Thus $v(t)$ is generic in the sense that it is contained in the interior of a maximal Gröbner cone of $g(I)$. Moreover, $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-m})$ is the only minimal prime ideal of $\mathrm{gin}_{\succ_\omega}(g(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{v(t)}(g(I))$ as can be seen from [9, Corollary 15.25]. By [27, Theorem 5.5] (originally proved in [7, Theorem 2.2]) it follows that

$$v(t) + \mathrm{cone}(e_1,\dots,e_{n-m}) \cap \mathrm{gT}(I) \neq \emptyset,$$

where e_i denotes the ith standard basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $z \in v(t) + \mathrm{cone}(e_1,\dots,e_{n-m}) \cap \mathrm{gT}(I)$. Since $\mathrm{gT}(I) \subset \mathscr{W}_n^m$ as shown in Lemma 8.2, the minimum of the coordinates of z must be attained at least $n-m+1$ times. We know that $z_i = v(t)_i = \omega_i + tu_i$ for $i = n-m+1,\dots,n$. But we have chosen t such that $\omega_{n-m+1} + tu_{n-m+1} < \omega_j + tu_j$ for $j = n-m+2,\dots,n$, so $z_i = z_{n-m+1} = \omega_{n-m+1} + tu_{n-m+1}$ for $i = 1,\dots,n-m$. This means that the intersection $v(t) + \mathrm{cone}(e_1,\dots,e_{n-m}) \cap \mathrm{gT}(I)$ contains only one vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $z_1 = \ldots = z_{n-m+1} = \omega_{n-m+1} + tu_{n-m+1}$ and $z_i = \omega_i + tu_i$ for $i \geq n-m+2$. Now we choose a sequence $(t_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $0 < t_k < \varepsilon$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = 0$. By the previous construction we obtain a sequence $(z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ all contained in $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} z_k = \omega$ in the usual topology. Since $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ this implies $\omega \in \mathrm{gT}(I)$ as well. Hence the interior of every maximal cone of $\mathscr{W}_n^m$ is contained in $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ which implies $\mathscr{W}_n^m \subset \mathrm{gT}(I)$. □

Using Theorem 2.3 again, the previous statement can be generalized to all graded ideals.

Corollary 8.4. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal of dimension $m < n$. Then as a set*

$$\mathrm{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_n^m.$$

Proof. Let $P_1,\dots,P_s$ be the minimal prime ideals of I. By Theorem 8.3 we now that $\mathrm{gT}(P_i)=\mathscr{W}_n^{m_i}$ as a set, where $m_i=\dim P_i$. Now at least one of the minimal primes has dimension m, so we can assume $\mathrm{gT}(P_1)=\mathscr{W}_n^m$. If $\dim P_i<m$ it follows that $\mathrm{gT}(P_i)\subset\mathrm{gT}(P_1)$, since the m-skeleton of $\mathscr{W}_n^m$ contains any lower dimensional skeleton. Thus by Theorem 2.3 we have

$$\mathrm{gT}(I)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s}\mathrm{gT}(P_i)=\mathrm{gT}(P_1)=\mathscr{W}_n^m.$$

□

As another corollary of this we can determine the lineality space of any generic tropical variety. By the *lineality space* of a fan $\mathscr{F}$ we mean the largest vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is contained in all cones of $\mathscr{F}$. Note that the lineality space of the Gröbner fan of an ideal I (and thus of its tropical variety, if it is not empty) is the set of all $\omega\in\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathrm{in}_\omega(I)=I$.

Corollary 8.5. *For any graded ideal* $0\neq I\subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ *with* $\dim I>0$ *the lineality space* $\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))$ *of* $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ *is* $\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$.

Proof. Since $\dim I>0$, we know $T(g(I))\neq\emptyset$ for all $g\in U_{>0}$; see Lemma 2.6. Moreover, I is graded. Thus $\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)\subset\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))$, because $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(f))=g(f)$ and this is not a monomial for every $\omega\in\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$, every $f\in I$ and $g\in U_{>0}$.
For the other inclusion we note at first that by Lemma 8.2 we have $\mathrm{gT}(I)\subset\mathscr{W}_n^m$ where the dimension m of I is at most $n-1$. Let $\emptyset\neq U\subset\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be Zariski-open such that $T(g(I))=\mathrm{gT}(I)$ for $g\in U$.
Let $\omega\in\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))$. Then $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(I))=g(I)$ for all $g\in U$. By Proposition 7.4 we can choose a non-empty Zariski-open subset $V\subset U$ such that $g\in V$ implies $\sigma(g)\in V$ for every $\sigma\in S_n$. But then for $g\in V$ we have $T(\sigma(g)(I))=\mathrm{gT}(I)$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\sigma(\omega)}(\sigma(g(I)))=\sigma(g(I))$, since $\sigma(g(I))$ is obtained from $g(I)$ by renaming coordinates. Hence $\sigma(\omega)\in\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))$ as well.
If $\omega\notin\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$, then there exist coordinates ω_i,ω_k with $\omega_k>\omega_i=\min_j\left\{\omega_j\right\}$. For each $j\neq i$ we choose $\sigma_j\in S_n$ as follows. For $j\neq k$ we let σ_j be the transposition (jk), and we set $\sigma_k=\mathrm{id}$. Then $\omega'=\sum_{j\neq i}\sigma_j(\omega)$ is contained in $\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))$, since $\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))$ is a vector space. ω' has i-th coordinate $(n-1)\omega_i$ and every other coordinate j is bounded from below by $(n-2)\omega_i+\omega_k$. Hence the minimum over the coordinates of ω' is attained only once and so $\omega'\notin\mathscr{W}_n^m\supset\mathrm{gT}(I)$, which is a contradiction to $\omega'\in\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))\subset\mathrm{gT}(I)$. □

The generic tropical variety of 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional ideals can be shown to be the 1-skeleton the 2-skeleton respectively of the generic tropical fan as a fan. This will be discussed in the following examples. Recall that for 0-dimensional ideals the generic tropical variety is empty.

Example 8.6. For 1-dimensional ideals the generic tropical variety is always $\mathscr{W}_n^1=\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$ as a fan. To see this let $I\subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal of dimension 1. By Lemma 2.6 we know that $\mathrm{gT}(I)\neq\emptyset$. By Corollary 8.5 we now $\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I))=\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$. Furthermore in Theorem 8.4 it was shown, that $\mathrm{gT}(I)=\mathscr{W}_n^1=\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$ as a set. This implies $\mathrm{gT}(I)=\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$ as a fan.

In the case of $\dim I = 2$ we can show, that the generic tropical variety is constant as well.

Example 8.7. Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a graded ideal of dimension 2. Then $\mathrm{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_n^2$ as a fan, which will be shown in the following.
We know $\mathrm{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_n^2$ as a set by Corollary 8.4. Let C be a cone of $\mathrm{gT}(I)$ with $\dim C = 2$. We now show that $C = D$ for some 2-dimensional cone D of $\mathscr{W}_n^2$.
First we prove that C is contained in a 2-dimensional cone D of $\mathscr{W}_n^2$: If this was not the case, we would have $\omega \in \mathring{D}$ and $\omega' \in \mathring{D}'$, for different 2-dimensional cones D and D' of $\mathscr{W}_n^2$ and $\omega, \omega' \in C$. (We can assume ω, ω' are in the relative interior of D and D' respectively, since the only 1-dimensional face of D and of D' is $\mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$, which is contained in of both of them.) This means the minima of the coordinates of ω and ω' are each attained exactly $n-1$ times, but for different sets of coordinates. Then the minimum of the coordinates of $\omega+\omega'$ is attained only $n-2$ times which implies that $\omega+\omega' \notin \mathscr{W}_n^2$. But $\omega+\omega' \in C$, since C is a cone, which is a contradiction to $C \subset \mathscr{W}_n^2$. This implies $C \subset D$ for one cone of $\mathscr{W}_n^2$. Without loss of generality we can assume $D = D_A$ defined by $A = \{2,\dots,n\}$.
For the other inclusion let $\omega \in \mathring{C} \subset D_A$ be a vector, which assumes its minimum $n-1$ times at all but the first coordinate. Using that $\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I)) = \mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$, we can choose $\omega_j = 0$ for $j > 1$ and $\omega_1 > 0$. Now let $v \in D_A$. Then we set $c = v_j \leq v_1$ for $j \in \{2,\dots,n\}$ and we get

$$v = \frac{(v_1 - c)}{\omega_1}\omega + c(1,\dots,1).$$

Again using that $\mathscr{L}(\mathrm{gT}(I)) = \mathbb{R}(1,\dots,1)$ this implies $v \in C$ as well and we get $C = D_A$.
In particular, we have found a 2-dimensional cone of $\mathscr{W}_n^2$, which is also a cone of $\mathrm{gT}(I)$. Now from Proposition 7.4 it follows, that by the same argument every 2-dimensional cone of $\mathscr{W}_n^2$ is a cone of $\mathrm{gT}(I)$, since every such cone can be obtained from C by a suitable permutation coordinates. So $\mathscr{W}_n^2 \subset \mathrm{gT}(I)$ conewise, and overall this implies $\mathscr{W}_n^2 = \mathrm{gT}(I)$ as a fan.

9. EXAMPLES OF GENERIC GRÖBNER FANS AND GENERIC TROPICAL VARIETIES

For special classes of ideals the generic Gröbner fan and the generic tropical variety can be computed explicitly. In this section we discuss the cases of principal ideals and linear ideals.
To describe the generic tropical variety of principal ideals we first prove a simple auxiliary statement.

Lemma 9.1. *For a given homogenous polynomial* $0 \neq f \in K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ *of total degree* d *we can find a non-empty Zariski-open set* $V \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ *such that* $g(f)$ *contains all terms* $P_k(g)x_k^d$ *with nonzero coefficients* $P_k(g)$ *for all* $g \in V$.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n} a_v x_1^{v_1}\cdots x_n^{v_n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = d$. Then

$$g(f) = \sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n} a_v (\sum_{j=1}^n g_{1j}x_j)^{v_1} \cdots (\sum_{j=1}^n g_{nj}x_j)^{v_n}.$$

So $g(f)$ contains the terms $(\sum_\nu a_\nu g_{1k}^{\nu_1}\cdots g_{nk}^{\nu_n})x_k^d$. Let $P_k(g)=\sum_\nu a_\nu g_{1k}^{\nu_1}\cdots g_{nk}^{\nu_n}$. Because f is not the zero polynomial we can choose V to be the set of all $g\in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ with $P_k(g)\neq 0$ for $k=1,\dots,n$. □

Theorem 9.2. *Let* $0\neq f\in K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ *be a homogenous polynomial. Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{gGF}(f)$ *is equal to the generic tropical fan* $\mathscr{W}_n$.
(ii) $\mathrm{gT}(f)$ *is equal to* $\mathscr{W}_n^{n-1}$, *the* $(n-1)$*-skeleton of the generic tropical fan.*

Proof. We consider the Zariski-open set $\emptyset\neq U\subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that $g(f)$ has the maximal number of terms for all $g\in U$, i.e. g is not a zero of any nonzero coefficient polynomial of the terms in $g(f)$. In particular, by Lemma 9.1 we know $P_k(g)\neq 0$ for $k=1,\dots,n$ for all $g\in U$. Since $g(f)$ is homogenous, this implies that $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(f))$ is exactly the sum of those terms of $g(f)$, that contain only variables x_i for which $\omega_i=\min\{\omega_j : j=1,\dots,n\}$. So for $\omega,\omega'\in\mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(f))=\mathrm{in}_{\omega'}(g(f))$ if and only if

$$\{i:\omega_i=\min\{\omega_j : j=1,\dots,n\}\}=\{i:\omega_i'=\min\{\omega_j' : j=1,\dots,n\}\}.$$

Hence ω and ω' are in the same Gröbner cone of $g(I)$ if and only if they are in the same cone $\mathscr{W}_n$ for all $g\in U$ and we conclude $\mathrm{gGF}(f)=\mathscr{W}_n$.
For the computation of the generic tropical variety we note that $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(f))$ is a monomial $P_k(g)x_k^d$ for $g\in U$, if $\omega_k<\omega_j$ for all $j\neq k$. If the minimum on the other hand is attained at least twice, then $\mathrm{in}_\omega(g(f))$ contains at least the terms $P_k(g)x_k^d$ corresponding to the minimal coordinates k and therefore is not a monomial. So for all $g\in U$ we conclude that $T(g(I))=\mathscr{W}_n^{n-1}$ is constant and so $\mathrm{gT}(I)=\mathscr{W}_n^{n-1}$. □

For linear ideals I, that is, ideals generated by linear forms, the generic Gröbner fan and the generic tropical variety just depend on the dimension of I. To show this, we need some results in preparation.

Definition 9.3. Let $I=(f_1,\dots,f_t)$ be generated by the linear forms $f_i=\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$. Then the matrix $A=(a_{ij})\subset K^{t\times n}$ will be called the *matrix* of I. If the determinant of the first $t\times t$-minor is nonzero, let A_{red} be the reduced form

$$A_{\mathrm{red}}=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&\cdots&0&*&\cdots&*\\0&1&\cdots&0&*&\cdots&*\\\vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots&\vdots&\vdots&\vdots\\0&0&\cdots&1&*&\cdots&*,\end{pmatrix}$$

obtained by Gauß elimination on A.

This definition makes sense modulo Gauß operations on the rows of A, which corresponds to choosing different generators of I by taking linear combinations of the original ones.

Lemma 9.4. *Let* $I\subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ *be a linear ideal and* A *be the matrix of* I. *Then* $\operatorname{rank} A=n-\dim I$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{rank} A$ be r and without loss of generality $a_1,\dots,a_r$ linear independent rows. Then we can complete this system to a basis $a_1,\dots,a_n$ of K^n and define the K-algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : K[x_1,\dots,x_n] &\longrightarrow K[x_1,\dots,x_n] \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j &\longmapsto x_i \end{aligned}$$

Now we have $\dim I = \dim \varphi(I) = \dim K[x_1,\dots,x_n]/(x_1,\dots,x_r) = n-r$. □

The fact, that linear ideals can be studied by considering their matrices, is very useful for computing their tropical varieties. To be able to do this generically, we note that if $A \subset K^{r\times n}$ is the matrix of I and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, then we can consider g as the matrix $g \in K^{n\times n}$ and observe that the matrix product $Ag \subset K^{r\times n}$ is exactly the matrix of $g(I)$. This is true, since for the generator f_i of I we have

$$g(f_i) = \sum_j a_{ij} g(x_j) = \sum_j \sum_k a_{ij} g_{jk} x_k = \sum_k \left(\sum_j a_{ij} g_{jk} \right) x_k,$$

so the coefficient of x_k in $g(f_i)$ is exactly the product of the i-th row of A and the k-th column of g.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $A \in K^{r\times n}$ of* rank r. *Then there is a non-empty Zariski-open subset $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that*

(i) *every $r\times r$ minor of Ag is non-zero for every $g \in U$,*
(ii) *every entry $*$ on the right hand side of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$ as in Definition 9.3 is non-zero for $g \in U$.*

Proof. The $r\times r$-minors of Ag can be considered as polynomials in the g_{ij}. If one of these polynomials was the zero polynomial, that would mean, that the determinant of the corresponding submatrix is zero for all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, in particular for permutation matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ that swap columns of A. This implies that the determinant of all possible $r\times r$-submatrices of A are zero and thus $\operatorname{rank} A < r$, which is a contradiction. So all $r\times r$-minors of Ag are non-zero polynomials $\{f_1,\dots,f_s\}$ in the g_{ij}. Thus we can choose U as the set of all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ with $f_i(g) \neq 0$ for $i = 1,\dots,s$.
For the second statement we note that if every $r\times r$-minor of Ag is non-zero, so is every $r\times r$-minor of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$, since Gauß elimination preserves the rank of a matrix. So for $g \in U$ every $r\times r$-minor of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is not zero. Now assume that some entry $*_{ij}$ for some $j > r$ on the right hand side of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is equal to 0. Consider the submatrix B of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$ consisting of the first r columns of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$, except that the ith column is replaced by the jth one. Then every entry in ith row of B is zero, and thus $\det B = 0$. But this is a contradiction to the fact that no $r\times r$-minor of $(Ag)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is zero. □

With this we can determine the generic Gröbner fan and the generic tropical variety for linear ideals.

Theorem 9.6. *Let $I \subset K[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be an m-dimensional ideal generated by linear forms. Then the generic Gröbner fan* $\mathrm{gGF}(I)$ *contains the following cones.*

(i) *For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\{i_1,\dots,i_n\} = \{1,\dots,n\}$ such that*

$$\omega_{i_1},\dots,\omega_{i_m} < \omega_{i_{m+1}},\dots,\omega_{i_n}$$

we have

$$C[\omega] = \left\{ \omega' \in \mathbb{R}^n : \omega'_{i_1}, \dots, \omega'_{i_m} < \omega'_{i_{m+1}}, \dots, \omega'_{i_n} \right\}.$$

(ii) *For* $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *with* $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ *such that*

$$\omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_{m-t-1}} < \omega_{i_{m-t}} = \omega_{i_{m-t+1}} = \dots = \omega_{i_{m+s}} < \omega_{i_{m+s+1}}, \dots, \omega_{i_n}$$

for $t \geq 0, s \geq 1$ *we have*

$$C[\omega] = \left\{ \omega' \in \mathbb{R}^n : \omega'_{i_1}, \dots, \omega'_{i_{m-t-1}} < \omega'_{i_{m-t}} = \omega'_{i_{m-t+1}} = \dots = \omega'_{i_{m+s}} < \omega'_{i_{m+s+1}}, \dots, \omega'_{i_n} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that after possibly renaming coordinates $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots \leq \omega_n$, and $\succ_\omega$ be a term order with $x_1 \succ x_2 \dots \succ x_n$ which refines ω. Let A be the matrix of I (the renaming of the coordinates of ω corresponds to a permutation of the columns of A). Without loss of generality we can assume $\operatorname{rank} A = r = n - m$. Then by [17, Proposition 1.4.4] the rows of the matrix $(Ag)_{\text{red}}$ are a reduced Gröbner basis of $g(I)$. For $g \in U$ as defined in Lemma 9.5 the rows of $(Ag)_{\text{red}}$ correspond to linear forms

$$l_i = x_i + \sum_{k=r+1}^{n} c_{ik} x_k$$

with $c_{ik} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, $k = r+1, \dots, n$. Now $\omega' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is in the same Gröbner cone as ω, if and only if $\text{in}_{\omega'}(l_i) = \text{in}_\omega(l_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Since $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \leq \omega_{m+1}, \dots, \omega_n$ this immediately implies $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_m \leq \omega'_{m+1}, \dots, \omega'_n$. For every equality of some $\omega_i = \omega_k$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ the vector ω' has to fulfill the same equality such that $\text{in}_{\omega'}(l_i) = \text{in}_\omega(l_i)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 9.7. *Let* $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ *be an m-dimensional ideal generated by linear forms. Then* $\text{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_n^m$*, the m-skeleton of the generic tropical fan.*

Proof. We already know that $\text{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_m^n$ as a set. On the other hand $\text{gT}(I)$ is a subfan of the Gröbner fan $\text{gGF}(I)$ as computed in Theorem 9.6. But $\mathscr{W}_n^m$ is a subfan of $\text{gGF}(I)$, since the maximal cones of $\mathscr{W}_n^m$ are exactly the cones

$$C = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : \omega_{i_1} = \dots = \omega_{i_{m+1}} \leq \omega_{i_{m+2}}, \dots, \omega_{i_n} \right\}$$

of $\text{gGF}(I)$. Hence $\text{gT}(I) = \mathscr{W}_m^n$ as a fan. $\square$

One implication of this is that the generic tropical variety of an ideal is generally not the m-skeleton of its generic Gröbner fan, since already for linear ideals I the generic Gröbner fan $\text{gGF}(I)$ has more m-dimensional cones than $\text{gT}(I)$. This shows that in theory it is possible to obtain information on I from its tropical variety which cannot be obtained from its Gröbner fan.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Bayer and M. Stillmann, *A criterion for detecting m-regularity*. Invent. Math. **87**, 1–11 (1987).

[2] G.M. Bergman, *The logarithmic limit-set of an algebraic variety*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **157**, 459–470 (1971).

[3] R. Bieri and J.R.J. Groves, *The geometry of the set of characters induced by valuations*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **347**, 168–195 (1984).

[4] T. Bogart, A.N. Jensen, D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels and R.R. Thomas, *Computing tropical varieties*. J. Symb. Comput. **42**, No. 1-2, 54–73 (2007).

[5] D.A. Cox, J. Little and D. O'Shea, *Using algebraic geometry. 2nd ed.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **185**, Springer (2005).

[6] M. Develin and B. Sturmfels, *Tropical convexity*. Doc. Math., J. DMV **9**, 1–27, erratum 205–206 (2004).

[7] A. Dickenstein, E. M. Feichtner and B. Sturmfels, *Tropical discriminants*. Journal of the American Mathematical Society **20**, 1111–1133 (2007).

[8] J. Draisma, *A tropical approach to secant dimensions*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212**, No. 2, 349–363 (2008).

[9] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **150**, Springer (1995).

[10] A. Gathmann, *Tropical algebraic geometry*. Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **108**, No. 1, 3–32 (2006).

[11] A. Gathmann and H. Markwig, *The Caporaso-Harris formula and plane relative Gromov-Witten invariants in tropical geometry*. Math. Ann. **338**, No. 4, 845–868 (2007).

[12] M.L. Green, *Generic initial ideals*. In: J. Elias (ed.) et al., Six lectures on commutative algebra. Birkhäuser, Prog. Math. **166**, 119–186 (1998).

[13] J. Herzog, *Generic initial ideals and graded Betti numbers*. In: T. Hibi (ed.), Computational commutative algebra and combinatorics, Mathematical Society of Japan. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **33**, 75–120 (2001).

[14] K. Hept and T. Theobald, *Tropical bases by regular projections*. To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

[15] I. Itenberg, G. Mikhalkin and E. Shustin, *Tropical algebraic geometry*. Oberwolfach Seminars **35**, Birkhäuser (2007).

[16] E. Katz, H. Markwig and T. Markwig. *The j-invariant of a plane tropical cubic*. J. Algebra **320**, No. 10, 3832–3848 (2008).

[17] D. Maclagan, R. Thomas, S. Faridi, L. Gold, A.V. Jayanthan, A. Khetan and T. Puthenpurakal, *Computational Algebra and Combinatorics of Toric Ideals*. In: R.V. Gurjar (ed.) et al., Commutative algebra and combinatorics. Ramanujan Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series **4**, Ramanujan Mathematical Society (2007).

[18] G. Mikhalkin. *Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in* $\mathbb{R}^2$. J. Am. Math. Soc. **18**, No. 2, 313–377 (2005).

[19] G. Mikhalkin, *Tropical geometry and its applications*. In: M. Sanz-Solé (ed.) et al., Volume II: Invited lectures, European Mathematical Society (EMS), 827–852 (2006).

[20] T. Mora and L. Robbiano, *The Gröbner fan of an ideal*. J. Symb. Comput. **6**, No.2–3, 183–208 (1988).

[21] A. Nedergaard Jensen, H. Markwig and T. Markwig, *An algorithm for lifting points in a tropical variety*. Collect. Math. **59**, No. 2, 129–165 (2008).

[22] P. Ribenboim, *Fields: Algebraically Closed and Others*. Manuscripta Math. **75**, No. 2, 115–150 (1992).

[23] D. Speyer and B. Sturmfels, *The tropical Grassmannian*. Adv. Geom. **4**, No. 3, 389–411 (2004).

[24] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*. University Lecture Series **8**, American Mathematical Society (1996).

[25] B. Sturmfels, *Solving systems of polynomial equations*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **97**, American Mathematical Society (2002).

[26] B. Sturmfels and J. Tevelev, *Elimination theory for tropical varieties*. Math. Res. Lett. **15**, No. 2–3, 562–543 (2008).

[27] B. Sturmfels, J. Tevelev and J. Yu, *The newton polytope of the implicit equation*. Moscow Mathematical Journal **7**, 327–346 (2007).

UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 49069 OSNABRÜCK, GERMANY
E-mail address: `troemer@uos.de`

UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 49069 OSNABRÜCK, GERMANY
E-mail address: `kischmit@uos.de`